

BOARD ORDER

One Watershed, One Plan Program 2021 Request for Proposals

PURPOSE

Authorize the 2021 Request for Proposals (RFP).

FINDINGS OF FACT / RECITALS

- 1. Minnesota Statutes §103B.801 establishes the Comprehensive Watershed Management Planning Program, also known as the One Watershed, One Plan Program.
- 2. The Board has authority under Minnesota Statutes §103B.3369 to award grants to local units of government with jurisdiction in water and related land resources management.
- 3. The Laws of Minnesota Laws of Minnesota 2019, 1st Special Session, Chapter 2, Article 2, Section 7(i) appropriated funds to the Board for assistance, oversight, and grants to local governments to transition local water management plans to a watershed approach.
- 4. The One Watershed, One Plan Grant 2021 RFP was reviewed and approved by the Board's Senior Management Team on February 9, 2021 to forward to the Board's Grants Program and Policy Committee for consideration.
- 5. The Board's Grants Program and Policy Committee reviewed the 2021 One Watershed, One Plan Grant RFP on March 9, 2021 and recommended approval to the Board.

ORDER

Date: March 24, 2021

The Board hereby:

1. Authorizes staff to finalize, distribute, and promote a 2021 Request for Proposals.

Dated at St. Paul, Minnesota, this March 24, 2021.

MINNESOTA BOARD OF WATER AND SOIL RESOURCES

Gerald Van Amburg, Chair

Board of Water and Soil Resources

Attachments:

- 2018 One Watershed, One Plan Grant Policy
- 2021 Request for Proposals





2018 Grants Policy One Watershed, One Plan Planning Grants

From the Board of Water and Soil Resources, State of Minnesota

Version: 1.00

Effective Date: 03/28/2018

Approval: Board Decision #18-15

Policy Statement

The purpose of this policy is to provide expectations for One Watershed, One Plan Planning Grants conducted via the Board of Water and Soil Resources (BWSR) Clean Water Fund grants to facilitate development and writing of comprehensive watershed management plans consistent with Minnesota Statutes §103B.801.

Reason for this Policy

The Clean Water Fund was established to implement part of Article XI, Section 15, of the Minnesota Constitution, with the purpose of protecting, enhancing, and restoring water quality in lakes, rivers, and streams and to protect groundwater and drinking water sources from degradation.

BWSR will use grant agreements for assurance of deliverables and compliance with appropriate statutes, rules and established policies. Willful or negligent disregard of relevant statutes, rules and policies may lead to imposition of financial penalties or future sanctions on the grant recipient.

Requirements

1. Applicant Eligibility Requirements

Eligible applicants include counties, watershed districts, watershed management organizations, and soil and water conservation districts working in partnership within a single One Watershed, One Plan planning boundary, meeting the participation requirements outlined in the *One Watershed, One Plan Operating Procedures*. Application for these funds is considered a joint application between participating local governments and may be submitted by a joint powers organization on behalf of local government members (partners). Formal agreement between the partners, consistent with the *One Watershed, One Plan Operating Procedures*, is required prior to execution of a grant agreement.

2. Match Requirements

No match will be required of the grantees. Grantees will be required to document local involvement in the plan development process.

3. Eligible Activities

Eligible activities must be directly for the purposes of providing services to the plan development effort and may include activities such as: contracts and/or staff reimbursement for plan writing; technical services; preparation of policy committee, advisory committee, or public meeting agendas and notices; taking meeting minutes; facilitating and preparing/planning for facilitation of policy or advisory committee meetings, or public meetings; grant reporting and administration, including fiscal administration; facility rental for public or committee meetings; materials and supplies for facilitating meetings; reasonable food costs (e.g. coffee and cookies) for public meetings; publishing meeting notices; and other activities which directly support or supplement the goals and outcomes expected with development of a comprehensive watershed management plan.

4. Ineligible Expenses

Ineligible expenses include staff time to participate in committee meetings specifically representing an individual's local government unit; staff time for an individual, regularly scheduled, county water plan task force meeting where One Watershed, One Plan will be discussed as part of the meeting; and stipends for attendance at meetings.

5. Grantee Administration of Clean Water Fund Grants

The grantee for these funds includes the partners identified in the formal agreement establishing the partnership, consistent with the One Watershed, One Plan Operating Procedures. Grant reporting, fiscal management, and administration requirements are the responsibility of the grantee. All grantees must follow the Grants Administration Manual policy and guidance.

- a. Formal agreement between partners is required prior to execution of a grant agreement and must identify the single local government unit which will act as the fiscal agent for the grant and which will act as a grantee authorized representative. Grant reporting, fiscal management, and administration requirements are the responsibility of the grantee.
- b. All grantees are required to report on the outcomes, activities, and accomplishments of Clean Water Fund grants.
- c. Grantees have the responsibility to approve the expenditure of funds within their partnership. The local government unit fiscal agent administering the grant must approve or deny expenditure of funds and the action taken must be documented in the governing body's meeting minutes prior to beginning the funded activity. This responsibility may be designated to a policy committee if specifically identified in the formal agreement establishing the partnership.
- d. BWSR recommends all contracts be reviewed by the grantee's legal counsel. All contracts must be consistent with Minnesota statute and rule.
- e. Grantees are required to document local involvement in the plan development process in order to demonstrate that the grant is supplementing/enhancing water resource restoration and protection activities.

6. BWSR Grant Administration Requirements

BWSR staff is authorized to develop grant agreements, including requirements and processes for project outcomes reporting, closeouts, and fiscal reconciliations.

In the event there is a violation of the terms of the grant agreement, BWSR will enforce the grant agreement and evaluate appropriate actions, including repayment of grant funds at a rate up to 150% of the grant agreement.

History

Version	Description	Date
1.00	Reformatted to new template and logo.	2018
0.00	New policy for One Watershed, One Plan Program	March 23, 2016





One Watershed, One Plan Planning Grants

Request for Proposals

March 26, 2021

Request for Proposals (RFP) General Information

The Clean Water Fund was established to implement part of Article XI, Section 15 of the Minnesota Constitution, with the purpose of protecting, enhancing, and restoring water quality in lakes, rivers, and streams in addition to protecting ground water and drinking water sources from degradation. The appropriation language governing the use of these funds is in Laws of Minnesota 2019, 1st Special Session, Chapter 2, Article 2, Section 7 (i). These funds must supplement traditional sources of funding and may not be used as a substitute to fund activities or programs. Final funding decisions will be dependent on the actual funds available. Approximately \$1,200,000 is currently available; additional funding may be available pending legislative appropriation.

Proposal Guidelines

Proposals must be in PDF format and will be submitted electronically via: BWSR.Grants@state.mn.us.

- 1. Proposals are subject to a five-page limit, minimum font size 11 pt.
- 2. Proposals must include a one-page map of the watershed (maps are not included in the page limit) in PDF format. The map may be letter, legal, or ledger size and should identify the planning boundary, the boundaries of the planning partners, and any requested changes to the boundary. The One Watershed, One Plan Suggested Planning Boundaries, including a geodatabase, can be found at: www.bwsr.state.mn.us/planning/1W1P/index.html.
- 3. Proposals may be submitted by one or more of the eligible local governments on behalf of others in the watershed area. Respondents should demonstrate that a sufficient commitment exists to implement the project through a supporting motion or resolution from the board of each identified participant. A formal agreement between participants establishing a partnership to develop a plan will be required prior to execution of the grant agreement. If participants are unable to establish a formal agreement and work plan within six months of successful grant notification, the grant may be rescinded, and funds redistributed.
- 4. Respondents who were previously awarded Clean Water Funds and have expended less than 50% of previous award(s) at the time of this proposal may need to demonstrate organizational capacity to finalize current projects and complete a new project concurrently.
- 5. A cost estimate is a requirement for the project proposal. The final grant amount for successful respondents will be determined upon completion of a grant work plan and detailed budget. No cash match will be required of grant recipients.

Grant Execution

Successful respondents will be required to complete a planning agreement and submit a detailed budget and work plan prior to execution of the grant agreement. For template agreements, work plans, and budgets, contact julie.westerlund@state.mn.us.

Policies for participating in the program as well as additional resources for planning, can be found at: www.bwsr.state.mn.us/planning/1W1P/index.html. Successful respondents will be subject to version 2.1 of the One Watershed, One Plan Operating Procedures and the version 2.1 of the One Watershed, One Plan - Plan Content Requirements.

Project Period

The project period starts when the grant agreement is executed, meaning all required signatures have been obtained. Work that occurs before this date is not eligible for reimbursement with grant funds. All grants must be completed by June 30, 2024.

Payment Schedule

Grant payments will be distributed in three installments to the designated grantee for the planning region. The first payment of 50% of the grant amount will be paid after work plan approval and execution of the grant agreement, provided the grantee is in compliance with all BWSR website and eLINK reporting requirements for previously awarded BWSR grants. The second payment of 40% of the grant amount will be paid once the grantee has provided BWSR with notification and BWSR has reconciled expenditures of the initial payment. The last 10% will be paid after all final reporting requirements are met, the grantee has provided BWSR with a final financial report, and BWSR has reconciled these expenditures.

Incomplete Proposals

Proposals that do not comply with all requirements, including incomplete or missing proposal components, will not be considered for funding.

Clean Water Fund Project Reporting Requirements

- All grantees are required to report on the outcomes, activities, and accomplishments of Clean Water Fund grants. All BWSR funded projects will be required to develop a work plan, including detail relating to the outcome(s) of the proposed project. All activities will be reported via the eLINK reporting system. Grant funds may be used for local grant management and reporting that are directly related to and necessary for implementing this activity. For more information go to www.bwsr.state.mn.us/outreach/eLINK/index.html.
- 2. BWSR Clean Water Funds will be administered via a standard grant agreement. BWSR will use grant agreements as contracts for assurance of deliverables and compliance with appropriate statutes, rules and established policies. Willful or negligent disregard of relevant statutes, rules and policies may lead to imposition of financial penalties on the grant recipient.
- 3. When practicable, grantees shall prominently display on their website the legacy logo. Grant recipients must display on their website either a link to their project from the Legislative Coordinating Commission Legacy Site (http://legacy.leg.mn) or a clean water project summary that includes a description of the grant activities, including expenditure of grant funds and measurable outcomes (www.bwsr.state.mn.us/cleanwaterfund/stories/)
- 4. When practicable, grantees must display the legacy logo on printed and other materials funded with money from the Clean Water Fund. The logo and specifications can be found at http://www.legacy.leg.mn/legacy-logo

5. Grantees will be required to document local involvement in the plan development process in order to demonstrate that the grant is supplementing/enhancing water resource restoration and protection activities and not supplanting traditional sources of funding.

Grants and Public Information

Under Minnesota Statute 13.599, responses to an RFP are nonpublic until the proposal deadline is reached. At that time, the name and address of the grantee, and the amount requested becomes public. All other data is nonpublic until the negotiation of the grant agreement with the selected grantee is completed. After the evaluation process is completed, all data (except trade secret data) becomes public. Data created during the evaluation process is nonpublic until the negotiation of the grant agreement with the selected grantee(s) is completed.

Conflict of Interest

State Grant Policy 08-01, (see https://mn.gov/admin/government/grants/policies-statutes-forms/) Conflict of Interest for State Grant-Making also applies to BWSR grantees. Grantees' conflicts of interest are generally considered organizational conflicts of interest. Organizational conflicts of interest occur with any of the following scenarios:

- 1. A grantee is unable or potentially unable to render impartial assistance or advice due to competing duties or loyalties.
- 2. A grantee's objectivity in carrying out the grant is or might be otherwise impaired due to competing duties or loyalties.
- 3. A grantee or potential grantee has an unfair competitive advantage through being furnished unauthorized proprietary information or source selection information that is not available to all competitors.

Submittal

All responses must be electronically delivered to: bwsp.grants@state.mn.us and must be received no later than 4:30 p.m. June 11, 2021. Late responses will not be considered. The burden of proving timely receipt is on the respondent.

Comprehensive Watershed Management Plan Development Proposals

To propose a watershed area, describe the qualifications of interested respondents. Responses should address the items in selection criteria #1 (see below).

- Provide a general watershed map of the proposed planning boundary (map may be separate from the
 written information). If the proposed planning boundary deviates from the 1W1P <u>Suggested Planning</u>
 <u>Boundaries</u>, provide a brief narrative of the reasons for the deviation, and whether all partners and
 affected or potentially affected partners in adjacent planning boundaries concur with the revised
 planning boundary.
- 2. Provide the name for your watershed planning boundary. Each planning partnership determines the name for the planning boundary (prior to participation in the program, boundaries are only numbered).
- 3. In consideration of the local government units (LGUs) within the boundary, provide a table with a list of all counties, soils and water conservation districts, watershed districts, and watershed management

organizations, and the percentage of the jurisdictional land area of each local government within the boundary. The table must include:

- a. Whether each LGU is a required participant (see section II of the *One Watershed, One Plan Operating Procedures*)
- b. Indication of interest of each LGU (e.g. verbal, letter, resolution, etc.) or why a given LGU is not interested
- c. Name and contact information for the primary contact(s) for each LGU

Proposals may also list potential or confirmed optional participants as described in the *One Watershed, One Plan Operating Procedures*. For a list of required participants and land percentages for planning boundaries shown on the *1W1P Suggested Planning Boundaries,* contact julie.westerlund@state.mn.us.

- 4. Describe technical information data sources for surface water, groundwater, and land management (plans, TMDLs, models, targeting tools, WRAPS, landscape stewardship plans, etc.) that will help inform the development of the comprehensive watershed management plan.
- 5. Describe the capability (experience with plan development, project and consultant management, facilitation, etc.) and availability (ability to commit time to the effort) of staff and local officials to participate in plan development.
- 6. Describe how the planning partnership will leverage each LGU's watershed management capacities and strengths (e.g. current water programs, areas of expertise), and how completing the plan will result in better resource outcomes and collaborative implementation approaches, shared services, and acquiring non-local funds for implementation.
- 7. Describe discussions among the LGUs within the boundary regarding the plan development process (the minimum requirement is that initial discussions have taken place, not that decisions have been made).
 - a. Potential governance structure for the planning effort (e.g., memorandum of agreement/joint powers collaboration or joint powers entity)
 - b. Roles and responsibilities for the planning effort (e.g. administrative lead, fiscal agent, plan writing and facilitation consultants, etc.)
 - c. Cost estimate

Selection Criteria

All complete proposals submitted by the deadline will be reviewed by BWSR staff, with assistance from an interagency review committee. The successful respondents will be selected by the Board of Water and Soil Resources based on:

- 1. Responses to questions in this RFP, considered as follows (failure to include information that addresses each of the elements below will be considered an incomplete proposal):
 - a. Inclusion of general watershed map and description of any boundary changes consistent with question 1.
 - ☐ Minimum: map (including proposed boundary changes if applicable) included with proposal
 - b. Inclusion of a name for the watershed planning boundary consistent with question 2.
 - c. Inclusion of a table of local government information consistent with question 3.

			Minimum: indication of support from required participants
			Minimum: potential optional participants have been identified and invited
			Preferred: resolution of support, specific to the proposed planning boundary, signed by required participants
			Preferred: optional participants have responded to invitation to participate
	d.		rtinence of existing studies, plans, and information consistent with question 4 to the development the comprehensive watershed management plan.
			Minimum: monitoring and assessment report (and stressor identification report, if applicable) approved
			Preferred: TMDL calculations and WRAPS document sufficiently developed to inform planning; WRAPS report on public notice or approved when proposal is submitted
			Highly Preferred: the group has discussed and identified models and tools that will be used to develop a prioritized, targeted, and measurable plan
	e.	disconding	monstration of the partnership's readiness and commitment to planning together, based on early cussions of: capability, availability, and commitment to plan together, a shared understanding of e another's current work and strengths, and a vision for future watershed management that ludes better resource outcomes and improved use of existing and future funding, consistent with estions 5 and 6.
			Minimum: the group (staff) has met to discuss staff capability and availability for planning, information about capacity and strengths present in each LGU
			Preferred: the group (staff and governing bodies) demonstrates that a majority of participants are committed to ongoing collaboration and contributing resources to developing the plan.
			Highly Preferred: the group has shared information about one another's local programs and has discussed a common vision for the future management of the watershed.
	f.		monstration of understanding of the scope of work required for development of a comprehensive tershed management plan, consistent with questions 6 and 7.
			Minimum: group has discussed administrative roles.
			Preferred: potential policy members have been identified and have met; MOA is drafted.
			Preferred: group has a clear vision for developing the plan (e.g., relative contributions of partners and/or consultants)
			Highly preferred: MOA is signed by all participants
2.	Ge	Geographic distribution	
			Preference will be given to the proposals with partners that have fewer completed comprehensive watershed management plans
			Preference will be given to the proposals with partners that are participating in fewer active planning efforts
3.	Am	oun	nt of available funding

4. Recommendation of BWSR staff and recommendation of the inter-agency review committee.

BWSR Grant Administration

BWSR reserves the right to provide funding to any and all proposals based on the number of eligible proposals submitted, anticipated staff time requirements, and the amount of funding available.

Timeline

- March 26, 2021 Proposal period begins
- June 11, 2021 Proposal deadline at 4:30 PM
- June August Proposal review
- August 24, 2021 BWSR Board approval of planning grant recipients
- Plans submitted to BWSR by June 30, 2024

Questions

For more information concerning the request for proposal, contact BWSR's One Watershed, One Plan Coordinator: Julie Westerlund, <u>julie.westerlund@state.mn.us</u> or 651-600-0694.



BOARD ORDER

Reallocating Previous Years' Clean Water Funds

PURPOSE

Authorize the use of carry forward and returned Clean Water Funds.

FINDINGS OF FACT / RECITALS

- 1. The Laws of Minnesota 2017, Regular Session, Chapter 91, Article 2, Sec. 7(b) appropriated funds to the Board for Clean Water Fund Competitive Programs (Projects and Practices) of which an estimated \$40,569 is available, and Sec. 7(s) sets the appropriation deadline as June 30, 2022 and allows returned grant funds to be regranted with a consistent purpose.
- 2. The Laws of Minnesota 2015, 1st Special Session, Chapter 2, Article 2, Sec. 7(a) appropriated funds to the Board for the Clean Water Fund Targeted Watershed Program of which an estimated \$571,882 is available, and Sec. 7(b) appropriated funds to the Board for Clean Water Fund Competitive Grants Programs (Projects and Practices) of which an estimated \$137,254 is available, and Sec. 7(s) allows the Board to shift grant funds between grant programs, and Sec. 7(u) allows returned grant funds to be available until expended and to be regranted with a consistent purpose.
- 3. The Laws of Minnesota 2013, Regular Session, Chapter 137, Article 2, Sec. 7(a) appropriated funds to the Board for the Clean Water Fund Targeted Watershed Program of which an estimated \$1,400,762 is available, and Sec. 7(I) allows the Board to shift grant funds between grant programs, and Sec. 7(n) allows returned grant funds to be available until expended and to be regranted with a consistent purpose.

ORDER

The Board hereby:

- A. Authorizes staff to reallocate an estimated \$138,273 in carry forward and returned funds from previous years' Clean Water Projects and Practices grants to the Clean Water Fund Projects and Practices competitive grant program (#1 and #2 above).
- B. Authorizes staff to reallocate an estimated \$571,882 in funds from previous years' Clean Water Targeted Watershed Program to the One Watershed, One Plan Planning grant program (#2 above).
- C. Authorizes staff to reallocate an estimated \$1,400,762 in funds from previous years' Clean Water Targeted Watershed Program to the Watershed-Based Implementation Funding program (#3 above).
- D. Authorizes staff to reallocate, for purposes consistent with the statutory appropriation conditions including authorized shifts, all carry forward and returned Clean Water Funds with account balances less than \$100,000.

Date: March 24, 2021

Dated at St. Paul, Minnesota, this March 24, 2021.

MINNESOTA BOARD OF WATER AND SOIL RESOURCES

Gerald Van Amburg, Chair

Board of Water and Soil Resources



Minnesota Board of Water and Soil Resources 520 Lafayette Road North Saint Paul, Minnesota 55155

In the Matter of the review of the Watershed Management Plan for the Clearwater River Watershed District pursuant to Minnesota Statutes Section 103D.405

ORDER
APPROVING A
WATERSHED MANAGEMENT
PLAN

Whereas, the Board of Managers of the Clearwater River Watershed District (CRWD) filed a proposed revised Watershed Management Plan (Plan) dated September 28, 2020, with the Minnesota Board of Water and Soil Resources (Board) on September 28, 2020 pursuant to Minnesota Statutes (M.S.) Section 103D.405, and;

Whereas, the Board has completed its review of the Plan;

Now Therefore, the Board hereby makes the following Findings of Fact, Conclusions and Order.

FINDINGS OF FACT

- 1. **District Establishment.** The CRWD was established on April 9, 1975 by Order of the Minnesota Water Resources Board. The CRWD is located in the central portion of Minnesota and includes parts of Meeker, Stearns and Wright Counties and encompasses the Clearwater Chain of Lakes. The mission of the District is to promote, preserve and protect water resources within the boundaries of the District in order to maintain property values and quality of life.
- 2. Requirement to Plan. A watershed district is required to revise their watershed management plan at least once every ten years pursuant to M.S. 103D.405, Subd. 1(a). The current CRWD Watershed Management Plan was approved by the Board in June 2011. The draft Plan includes an inventory of the CRWD's physical features and water resources, describes water-related problems and possible solutions, describes activities and projects that the District has completed, and states objectives for current and future water resources management.
- 3. **Nature of the Watershed.** The CRWD lies in central Minnesota with the headwaters located in Meeker County. The 158.8 square mile watershed district fully encompasses the drainage area of the Clearwater River as it begins southwest of Watkins, Minnesota and extends to its discharge point of the Mississippi River at the City of Clearwater. The upper portions of the watershed are dominated by agricultural land use while the lower portions trend toward suburban developments.
- 4. **Local Review.** The CRWD sent a copy of the draft Plan to local units of government for their review pursuant to M.S. 103D.405.

- 5. **Department of Natural Resources (DNR) Review.** The DNR provided numerous language change suggestions as well as clarification on several technical items. All necessary changes were made and comments adequately responded to.
- 6. **Minnesota Pollution Control Agency (MPCA) Review.** MPCA staff suggested several language corrections and additions to the definitions. Language revisions were made and all comments were addressed.
- 7. Department of Agriculture (MDA) Review. The MDA did not provide comments.
- 8. **Department of Health (MDH) Review.** The MDH did not provide comments.
- 9. Board of Water and Soil Resources. Written comments were provided by BWSR staff recommending approval of the Plan. Staff has provided information and assistance as needed throughout the planning process through meeting attendance and informal discussion. Staff has reviewed agency and local government comments. BCWD responses were not provided due to the supportive comments and a lack of suggested changes or negative comments.
- 10. **Publish Notice of Filing.** Legal Notice of Filing was published in the Tri-County News on January 21 and 28, 2021. The Legal Notice of Filing was also mailed in a letter from Board staff Annie Felix-Gerth dated January 19, 2021, to several addressees, including the Meeker, Stearns and Wright County Auditors and County Administrators as well as the Meeker, Stearns and Wright County Soil and Water Conservation Districts. The notice was also provided to the chief executive official of the cities of Annandale, Clearwater, Kimball, South Haven, and Watkins.
- 11. **Public Hearing.** The Legal Notice of Filing was published pursuant to Minnesota Statutes Section 103D.105, Subd. 2 and 103D.401, Subd. 4 which require within 30 days of the last date of publication of the Notice of Filing of the Plan that at least one request for hearing be received by the Board before a hearing will be held. No request for a hearing during the specified period of time and no hearing was held.
- 12. **Central Region Committee Meeting.** On March 4, 2021, the BWSR Central Region Committee and staff met in St. Paul and via teleconference to review and discuss the final Plan. Those in attendance from the Board's committee were Joe Collins (chair), Jill Crafton, Jayne Hager Dee, Andrea Date, Joel Larson, Glenn Skuta, Steve Robertson, and Grant Wilson. Board staff in attendance were Assistant Director Kevin Bigalke, Board Conservationist Steve Christopher and Office and Administrative Specialist Cecelia Rost. CRWD Treasurer Dale Homuth, CRWD Engineer Rebecca Carlson and Minnesota Association of Watershed Districts Executive Director Emily Javens were also in attendance. Rebecca Carlson provided highlights of the Plan and past accomplishments. Board staff noted that the Plan meets all statutory requirements and recommended approval of the Plan. After presentation and discussion, the committee unanimously voted to recommend the approval of the Plan to the full board.

CONCLUSIONS

- 1. All relevant, substantive, and procedural requirements of law have been fulfilled.
- 2. The Board has proper jurisdiction in the matter of approving a Watershed Management Plan for the Clearwater River Watershed District pursuant to Minnesota Statutes 103D.401.
- 3. The Clearwater River Watershed District Watershed Management Plan attached to this Order defines water-related problems within the CRWD boundaries, possible solutions thereto, and an implementation program.
- 4. The CRWD Watershed Management Plan will be effective March 24, 2021 through March 24, 2031.
- 5. The attached Plan is in conformance with the requirements of Minnesota Statutes 103D, BWSR guidelines for Watershed District Plan content, and is consistent with the affected counties comprehensive water plan.

ORDER

The Board hereby prescribes the attached Plan as the Management Plan for the Clearwater River Watershed District.

Dated at St. Paul, Minnesota this 24th day of March 2021.

MINNESOTA BOARD OF WATER AND SOIL RESOURCES

BY: Gerald Van Amburg, Chair